

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,302	05/08/2001	Shigeki Yamano	P/3117-26	3105
7590 09/20/2004			EXAMINER	
	EISBURD, ESQ.	DAVIS, CY	DAVIS, CYNTHIA L	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & Oshinsky LLP 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS- 41st FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, N			2665	
			DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/851,302	YAMANO ET AL	YAMANO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1 . /			
	Cynthia L Davis	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication		ith the correspondence	address			
Period for Reply	EDI V IO OET TO EVOIDE : 1	ONTUKO) EDOM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered tin NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. s communication.			
Status			·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
•	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	The state of the second of the					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>3</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1,2</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 May 2001</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)∐ obje	ected to by the Examine	r.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in e priority documents have bee sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nation	nal Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	v Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/97)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/3/01.		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/851,302 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "sends" in line 10 should be changed to "sending". Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: "sends" in line 14 should be changed to "sending". Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the multicast packet" in line 7. It is unclear which multicast packet this refers to. It is recommended that this be changed to "a multicast packet."

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/851,302

Art Unit: 2665

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka in view of Chinnaswamy in further view of George. At least one calculation type address having bits "1" corresponding to destinations to which a multicast packet is to be transferred is disclosed in Tanaka, column 1, lines 60-63 (the address here is calculated to indicate the destinations of the multicast packet; it would inherently use bits "1" to indicate the destination). Claim 3 further specifies at least one directional route mask and at least one terminal mask, which is missing from Tanaka. However, a directional route mask having bits "1" corresponding to those directional routes into which a multicast packet is to be transferred is disclosed in Chinnaswamy, column 7, lines 20-23 (the mask in this reference indicates which routes a multicast packet should take, not the ultimate destination of the packet). A terminal mask having a bit "1" corresponding to that destination which is to receive the multicast packet is disclosed in George, column 12, lines 33-37. In light of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have all three components in one system. The motivation would be to route the multicast packets efficiently.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,302

Art Unit: 2665

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia L Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3117. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 6, Monday to Thursday.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (703) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CLD CLD 9/9/2004 9/9/04

> HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600